



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/828,745

04/21/2004

Michael L. Whitehead

4011

5487

63151 7590 02/13/2009  
LAW OFFICE OF MARK BROWN, LLC  
4700 BELLEVIEW SUITE 210  
KANSAS CITY, MO 64112

EXAMINER

ISSING, GREGORY C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3662

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/13/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/828,745	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WHITEHEAD ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Gregory C. Issing	<b>Art Unit</b> 3662	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Gregory C. Issing. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Mark Brown. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 February 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 48.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: discussed the new claim 48. Examiner noted that the new claims appear to be directed to new matter found in the Exhibit A but not in the specification as originally filed. Discussed the determination of a "position solution" as provided by the claim limitations of claim 48. Attached with this communication, the Examiner is providing a response to the RCE.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Gregory C. Issing/
--	---------------------